inconsistency or even connection between the act of Government referred to and the opinion of the Committee.

(b) Government have no idea of introducing a graded system of reduction such as the one referred to in the question. The answer to the first part of the question does not therefore arise.

Land revenue remission—suspension of.

39. Mr. K. M. Kunhi Moideen *(Kattur).*— Will the Government state:—

(a) have the Government taken any note of the passage in para 8 of the Antony Committee Report 'The supplementary measures proposed by him were reduction of land revenue. . . . We also agree that unless supplemented by other measures . . . mere reduction of interest rates may not bring any additional relief to the agriculturists;

(b) if so, why in the face of a demand for a permanent reduction of land tax, they suspended even the remission granted in the previous two years ?

Mr. K. Achyuta Menon *(Diwan Peishkar).*—(a) Yes.

(b) The Member is referred to pages 334—341 of the Council Proceedings IV C. L. C. Volume III, Part 3 dated 29th July 1936.

Agricultural indebtedness—relief measures.

40. Mr. K. M. Kunhi Moideen *(Kattur).*—Will the Government be pleased to state what they have done to combat the agricultural indebtedness due to 'an increase of 300 per cent of the security of lands in terms of agricultural indebtedness' as admitted in the Diwan's Proceedings, dated 2nd March 1934?

Mr. K. Achyuta Menon *(Diwan Peishkar).*—The Member is referred to the answer to sub-division (a) of Question No. 36.

Town Improvement Committee—Cranganur.

41. Mr. K. M. Ibrahim *(Cranganur).*—Will the Government state:—

(a) whether on 15th August 1930 (full 6 years ago) a resolution reading

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly